SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lake County

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$9,934,474

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (I | Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 22 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 92.45 % | 94.99 % | 18 | 92.63 % | 41 | 42 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 87.45 % | 89.46 % | 15 | 86.67 % | 49 | 49 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 4.47 | 6.98 | 1 | 5.03 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 93.96 % | 1 | 88.14 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 91.56 % | 82.68 % | 6 | 76.19 % | 78 | 81 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 91.27 % | 82.30 % | 8 | 81.48 % | 67 | 74 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 51.59 % | 50.93 % | 14 | 45.62 % | 57 | 60 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 93.47 % | 1 | 96.17 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 4 / 22 | Nation | nally: 88 | 91 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$18,524 | \$15,552 | | \$28,750 | 126 Units | 3.90 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$9,344 | \$11,798 | | \$15,714 | 280 Units | 8.70 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$15,599 | \$19,201 | | \$21,140 | 73 Units | 2.30 |
| TBRA Unit | \$646 | \$4,170 | | \$3,230 | 2,742 Units | 85.10 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lake County

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** \$40,381 \$87,114 \$103,194

\$69,526 \$86,793 \$78,960 \$24,329 \$22,125 \$24,147 CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ: National Avg:

0.99

1.6 **%**

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental H % | omebuyer % | Homeowner TBRA % | |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----|
| White: | 69.8 | 69.3 | 93.2 | 73.3 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 36.5 | 24.3 | 27.4 36.0 | |
| Black/African American: | 26.2 | 17.9 | 4.1 | 18.7 | Elderly: | 21.4 | 1.4 | 27.4 5.3 | |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 35.7 | 33.2 | 16.4 37.3 | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 3.2 | 37.9 | 16.4 | |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 9.3 | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 3.2 | 11.8 | 2.7 | 6.7 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTANC | E: | | |
| 1 Person: | 48.4 | 23.9 | 38.4 | 40.0 | Section 8: | 24.6 | 0.7 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 19.8 | 14.3 | 34.2 | 26.7 | HOME TBRA: | 4.8 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 25.4 | 26.1 | 12.3 | 12.0 | Other: | 62.7 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 5.6 | 26.1 | 9.6 | 9.3 | No Assistance: | 7.9 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 9.3 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant l | Jnits / Com | pleted Uni | ts Since 2001 19 | 99 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lake County State: OH Group Rank: 88

State Rank: 4 / 22 PJs (Percentile)

Overall Rank: 91

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 91.56 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 91.27 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.980 | 2.35 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.